

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/827,688		ORSON ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.		1636	

All Participants:

(1) Melissa W. Acosta.

(2) Quang Nguyen.

Date of Interview: 7 July 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted attorney Acosta to suggest claim amendments as they appear in Examiner's amendment, so that the application is in conditions for allowance. Attorney Acosta accepted the proposed amendments.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: After 2nd RCE

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:00 pm

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)